

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GERALD JAY WILSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. CASTRO,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00542-HBK (PC)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION AND
DIRECTING CLERK TO PROVIDE
COURTESY COPY OF COMPLAINT

(Doc. No. 17)

JULY 8, 2024 DEADLINE

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's pleading titled "Plaintiff's Declaration in Support of Reconsideration Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 60(b) As to the Court's Order to Striking [sic] Improper Pleading." (Doc. No. 17). The Court construes the pleading as a motion for reconsideration under Rule 60(b). For the reasons stated below, the Court denies the motion.

On May 9, 2024, the Court struck Plaintiff's Complaint because it failed to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8. (*See* Doc. No. 16). On May 23, 2024, Plaintiff filed the instant Motion, disputing that his Complaint contained more than 50 pages and is the Complaint that he filed in the Northern District of California that was transferred to this Court. Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of the Court's order striking the Complaint and requests a copy of the Complaint to verify that it was the same Complaint he filed in the Northern District. (*See* Doc.

1 No. 17).

2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) provides relief from a final judgment, order, or
3 proceeding for the following reasons:

- 4 (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;
- 5 (2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not
have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b);
- 6 (3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic)
misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party;
- 7 (4) the judgment is void;
- 8 (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on
an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it
prospectively is no longer equitable; or
- 9 (6) any other reason that justifies relief.

10 Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). A motion under Rule 60(b) must be brought within a reasonable time.
11 And, for reasons (1), (2), and (3) no more than a year after the entry of the judgment or order or
12 the date of the proceeding. A Rule 60(b) motion lies within the discretion of the court. Because
13 such motions undo a final judgment, relief under Rule 60(b) is limited and granted sparingly in
14 extraordinary circumstances. *See Navajo Nation v. Dep’t of the Interior*, 876 F.3d 1144, 1173
15 (9th Cir. 2017).

16 Because Plaintiff asserts the Court’s order striking his Complaint was based on an error by
17 the Clerk of Court, the Court construes the motion as brought under Rule 60(b)(1). *See Kemp v.*
18 *United States*, 596 U.S. 528, 534 (2022). However, Plaintiff provides no evidence that any error
19 was made by the Clerk’s Office or this Court. He asserts that the Complaint the Court screened
20 was not his, but it bears his name, is written in his handwriting, and bears his signature.

21 Plaintiff’s Complaint did not include a “short and plain statement of the claim” but had
22 factual allegations scattered throughout the 50 pages in contravention to Rule 8. In addition to
23 violating Rule 8, Plaintiff’s Complaint also violates Rule 10(b) which requires that a complaint be
24 written in “numbered paragraphs, each limited as far as practicable to a single set of
25 circumstances.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b). Plaintiff’s Complaint is written in narrative form and does
26

1 not contain numbered paragraphs setting forth its claims. *See Quezada v. Hedgepeth*, 2009 WL
2 529888 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 2009) (dismissing under Rules 8 and 10 complaint that was “composed
3 of a lengthy factual narrative followed by two general grounds for relief that raise multiple claims
4 per count and make only vague and conclusory allegations against Defendants as a group.”)

5 Plaintiff’s Motion is devoid of any reason why the Court should reconsider its previous
6 order striking his Complaint. The Court will nevertheless direct the Clerk to provide Plaintiff
7 with a copy of the Complaint that was transferred to this Court and extend the time for Plaintiff to
8 file an amended complaint in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8 and 10.

9 In filing his amended complaint, Plaintiff is advised to use the Court’s approved civil
10 rights complaint form. Plaintiff must clearly designate on the face of the document that it is the
11 “First Amended Complaint.” The amended complaint must not exceed twenty-five (25) pages
12 and must be retyped or rewritten in its entirety legibly. The amended complaint cannot refer or
13 incorporate by reference any portion of the original complaint. Plaintiff must provide
14 information in his amended complaint regarding the Court’s jurisdiction and the defendants, and
15 he must divide his lawsuit into separate counts if he is alleging more than one cause of action. In
16 each count, Plaintiff must identify the federal constitutional civil right allegedly violated, state
17 with facts how each defendant participated in the alleged violation at issue, explain how Plaintiff
18 was injured by the alleged violation, and what relief he is seeking.

19 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

20 1. Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration (Doc. No. 17) is DENIED.
21 2. No later than **July 28, 2024**, Plaintiff shall deliver to correctional officials for
22 mailing (1) a legible amended complaint numbering no more than 25 pages and setting forth its
23 claims in numbered paragraphs or (2) a notice of voluntary dismissal under Rule 41. The Court
24 strongly recommends Plaintiff use the attached civil rights complaint form in filing his amended
25 complaint.

26 3. If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with this Court Order or seek an extension of
27 time to comply, the Court will recommend that the district court dismiss this action for Plaintiff’s
28 failure to comply with this Court Order and prosecute this action.

1 4. The Clerk of Court shall include a blank civil rights complaint form for Plaintiff's
2 use as appropriate.

3 5. The Clerk of Court is also directed to provide Plaintiff with a one-time courtesy
4 copy of his Complaint. (Doc. No. 1).

5
6 Dated: June 7, 2024



7 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28